

## 1 Fields pin coefficients

Previously, in math club:

**Definition 1** Let  $R$  be a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $p$  be a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $p$  *fixes*  $R$  if  $p(t) \in R$  for all  $t \in R$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $R$  be a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $R$  *pins coefficients* if every polynomial which has real coefficients and fixes  $R$  must have coefficients which are all in  $R$ .

On **January 24** we observed that  $\mathbb{Z}$  doesn't pin coefficients; for example,  $\frac{1}{2}t(t+1)$  fixes  $\mathbb{Z}$  but has coefficients not in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

On **February 28** we observed that any ring is fixed by the identity polynomial  $p(t) = t$ , and so any ring that pins coefficients must contain 1; by closure under addition, any ring that pins coefficients must contain all of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Today we looked at a proof that  $\mathbb{Q}$  pins coefficients.

Let  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n$  be some  $n+1$  distinct rational numbers, and consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r_0 & r_0^2 & \dots & r_0^n \\ 1 & r_1 & r_1^2 & \dots & r_1^n \\ 1 & r_2 & r_2^2 & \dots & r_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & r_n & r_n^2 & \dots & r_n^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that if  $p$  is the polynomial

$$p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_n t^n$$

then

$$M \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p(r_0) \\ p(r_1) \\ p(r_2) \\ \vdots \\ p(r_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, any solution to the homogeneous system  $Mx = 0$  gives rise to a polynomial  $p$  such that  $p(r_0) = p(r_1) = \dots = p(r_n) = 0$ . Since the  $r_i$  are distinct, that means  $p$  has  $n+1$  roots; but it has degree at most  $n$ . So if  $p$  corresponds to a solution to  $Mx = 0$ , then  $p$  is the zero polynomial, and so all the components of  $x$  are zero.

In other words: the homogeneous system  $Mx = 0$  has only the trivial solution. That is,  $M$  is invertible.

(We've just shown that if the  $r_i$  are distinct, then  $M$  is invertible. The converse is also true: if some two of the  $r_i$  are equal, then two rows of  $M$  are equal, so  $M$ 's rows are linearly dependent.)

Now we can prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  pins coefficients. Let  $p$  be a polynomial which fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $x$  be the vector corresponding to  $p$ , as above. Then, as above, the  $i$ th component of  $Mx$  is  $p(r_i)$ . Since all the  $r_i$  are rational, and  $p$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$ , all the  $p(r_i)$  are rational. And since all the entries of  $M$  are rational, all the entries of  $M^{-1}$  are rational. Thus

$$x = M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} p(r_0) \\ \vdots \\ p(r_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

also has rational components. That is, the coefficients of  $p$  are rational, which completes the proof.

This argument relies on only two properties of  $\mathbb{Q}$ : first, that it's infinite (so it has at least  $n + 1$  distinct elements); second, that if an invertible matrix has rational entries then its inverse also has rational entries. Any subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  has these properties, so we've actually shown the result for any such field.

So far, then, we know that all fields in  $\mathbb{R}$  fix coefficients, and that any ring in  $\mathbb{R}$  that fixes coefficients must contain  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a proper subring. We still don't know whether there are any rings that fix coefficients but are not fields.

## 2 A weird problem from Barbeau

Another problem from our list (Barbeau's problem 1.8.4): Let  $p$  be a monic quadratic polynomial with integer coefficients. Show that, for every integer  $n$ , there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $p(n)p(n + 1) = p(k)$ .

The natural thing to try is to let

$$p(t) = t^2 + bt + c$$

and just write it all out: we want to find  $k$  in terms of  $n$  so that

$$(n^2 + bn + c)((n + 1)^2 + b(n + 1) + c) = k^2 + bk + c.$$

Now, you could multiply out the LHS and try to bang it into the shape of the RHS, but... well, it doesn't look like a lot of fun.

It's a little better to solve this problem by considering some special cases. Let's take  $p(t) = t^2$ , the simplest monic quadratic polynomial. We wish to find  $k$  in terms of  $n$  so that

$$n^2(n + 1)^2 = k^2,$$

and obviously  $k = n(n + 1)$  will do.

Let's try  $p(t) = t^2 + 1$ . We want  $k$  so that

$$(n^2 + 1)(n^2 + 2n + 2) = k^2 + 1,$$

that is, multiplying out and rearranging,

$$k = \sqrt{n^4 + 2n^3 + 3n^2 + 2n + 1} = n^2 + n + 1.$$

With a little luck we notice that in both cases we have  $k = p(n) + n$ . Proving that this works is routine.

Much more interesting, though, is Barbeau's solution. Let, he says,

$$q(t) = p(n + t).$$

$q$  is a polynomial, since it is a composition of polynomials. Moreover,  $q$  is a composition of a linear and a quadratic polynomial, both monic; so  $q$  is a monic quadratic polynomial. Let

$$q(t) = t^2 + bt + c.$$

Then

$$p(n)p(n + 1) = q(0)q(1) = c(1 + b + c) = c^2 + bc + c = q(c) = p(n + c),$$

and so obviously we can take  $k = n + c$ .

Nifty, eh?

I suspect this idea — to notice the similarity of structure between two expressions (here, between  $p(n) = p(n + 0)$  and  $p(n + 1)$ ) and to turn the point where they differ into a parameter — to recur in other problems.